

Independent Regulatory Review Commission
 Attn: Arthur Coccodrilli, Chairman
 333 Market Street, 14th Floor
 Harrisburg, PA 17101

January 24, 2007

Dear Chairman Coccodrilli,

I am writing to express a few concerns that I have with regard to the proposed Dog Law Act 225, which was issued on December 16, 2006.

I appreciate that fact that the bureau has helped to improve the dog laws in the past several years. However, the current proposed regulation changes have appeared to be intentionally burdensome and go far beyond mere rulemaking.

The proposals add completely new categories and definition. These changes must be addressed through the legislative process.

The proposed changes require the kennel owner to record every time a water bowl or food pan is washed, every time the primary and secondary pen enclosures are cleaned, and the feeding and watering dates and times, etc. All these burdensome and excessive requirements will require a substantial increase in manpower with many hours dedicated to filling out written bureaucratic reports and divert the small business owner's time away from caring for their animals.

The Departments direction and intentions are neither attributed as accepted canine husbandry practices nor substantiated by science. The Department should base their changes on education to improve the industry. I request that this proposal be withdrawn.

Yours sincerely,

Christ Stoltzfus
 5129 Brush Valley Rd
 Rebersburg, PA 16875

We don't want such stupid
 dog laws. We have enough other
 work that needs to be done in
 2 day's time. Sincerely
 Christ M. Stoltzfus

RECEIVED
 2007 JAN 29 AM 10:41
 INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
 REVIEW COMMISSION